ADVANCED CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR DC-DC CONVERTERS

Dr. Puneet Kaur Assistant Professor (Electrical and Electronics Engg) UIET, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India. Email: puneetee@pu.ac.in

Abstract

This study delves into advanced control methods developed for DC-DC converters with the aim of enhancing their performance, efficiency, and dynamic response. In addition to discussing more conventional control methods like voltage-mode and current-mode, this article takes a look at more modern approaches like predictive, adaptive, sliding-mode, and fuzzy logic control. The goal of this study is to compare and contrast the various control techniques by looking at their transient response, stability, robustness, and complexity of implementation. Theory, simulation, and experimental validation all play a part in this assessment. In addition to paving the way for the development of high-performance DC-DC converter systems for a range of power electronics applications, the results shed light on how to choose the best control methods based on application requirements and operating conditions.

Keywords-Advanced, Control, Dc-Dc, Converters.

INTRODUCTION

Because they provide efficient power conversion and management in many different contexts, DC-DC converters are vital parts of modern power electronics systems. Renewable energy systems, electric vehicles, portable electronics, and telecommunications infrastructure all rely on these converters. Their job is to make sure that power is distributed and used as efficiently as feasible.[1] The meticulous selection of an appropriate converter topology has become an activity of paramount importance due to the increasing demand for better efficiency, lower form factors, and greater performance in all of these applications. The goal of this study is to compare and contrast several topologies for DC-DC converters systematically. Each topology has its own unique set of advantages and disadvantages, and we hope that this analysis will help to illuminate these differences. We work hard to give designers all the information they need to pick and optimize converter topologies that are perfect for their particular applications. Efficiency, size, cost, and other performance metrics are meticulously analyzed to achieve this goal.[2]

Several common DC-DC converter topologies are covered in our comparative investigation. In this category, you'll find converter topologies such as buck, boost, buck-boost, Cuk, SEPIC, and flyback, among others. Every design has its own set of advantages and disadvantages when it

comes to efficiency, voltage regulation, transient responsiveness, and adaptation to different load circumstances and input/output voltage ratios. Using a multi-faceted strategy, we conduct theoretical research, simulation studies in popular software packages like MATLAB/Simulink and LTspice, and experimental validation where necessary. Using these several avenues of inquiry, we probe the intricate working principles, control methods, and performance attributes that characterize every converter architecture.[3] In addition, we delve into practical details like component selection, switching frequency optimization, and magnetic component design in order to give a thorough understanding of the intricate design trade-offs involved. Engineers and researchers will be able to choose the best DC-DC converter architecture for their specific applications due to our work. Our goal is to optimize power electronics design and push the field forward by carefully weighing the pros and cons of each topology in various operational contexts. Through our actions, we aim to encourage the creation of more efficient, portable, and reliable power conversion technologies.[4] This will pave the way for innovations that will shake up the industry to its core.

BASIC PRINCIPLES

By utilizing a circuit known as a buck converter, it is possible to convert a higher direct current (DC) input voltage into a lower DC output value.[5] The graphic depicts the essential topology of a buck dc-dc converter. This topology is depicted using the graphic. To begin, it is composed of a controlled switch that is denoted by the letter Sw, a switch that is not controlled and is denoted by the letter D, an inductor of the letter L, a capacitor of the letter C, and a load resistance of the letter R.[6]



Figure 1:Dc-Dc Buck Converter Topology Figure 2: Buck Converter Circuit When Switch: (A) Turns On (B) Turns Off Based on the description of the converter's working, it is presumed that all components are correct. Additionally, it is assumed that the converter performs under CCM conditions. When a CCM is operational, the inductor receives a constant current during the switching period.[7] When the switching function is executed, the switch is either turned on or off, resulting in two distinct circuit states. The first sub-circuit condition happens when the switch is turned on, the diode is reverse biased, and the current from the inductor flows through the switch, as shown in figure 2(a). It is possible to differentiate this condition from the others.[8] When the switch is turned off and current is allowed to flow freely through the diode, Figure 2(b) shows the second sub-circuit situation.



Figure 2: Phase Plot for (a) ideal SM Control (b) actual SM control

Building a sliding surface in state space is the initial phase of SM control, which can start from any beginning state. Developing a control law to guide the system state trajectory to the sliding surface within a certain period is the second phase. When everything else fails, the system should eventually reach the origin of the phase plane, where it will be in its equilibrium condition. At its core, SM control is based on this idea. Three things—the presence of the regulating mechanism, the stability of that mechanism, and the striking condition—determine the stability of sliding mode control.[9] See Figure 3 for an example of the SM control principle in action; x1 represents the voltage error variable and x2 the voltage error dynamics. The slider is symbolized by the symbol. The sliding line separates the phase plane into two sections in any two-dimensional plane when a two-variable SM control system is in operation. Once the trajectory reaches the system's equilibrium point, the system is considered stable. A switching state is used to characterize each section.

SLIDING MODE CONTROLLERS

The Buck Converter is both a nonlinear switch circuit and a time variable circuit with structural features that may be changed. Sliding mode control is widely used to regulate dc-dc power converters due to its reputation for outstanding dynamic responsiveness and stability, its insensitivity to parameter changes, and its simplicity of implementation. Commonly encountered in SM controllers for switching power converters are two control modes. Both voltage and current

modes are available. The circumstance calls for the regulation of the output voltage, which is why voltage mode control is employed. [10]





Figure 3 is a schematic diagram showing the SM voltage controlled buck converter. This section provides the buck converter's state space description in the context of SM voltage control. The dynamics of the voltage error and the output voltage error serve as the control parameters. The SM voltage control system is now controlling the buck converter.

The SM controller is one type of non-linear controller. Variable structured systems (VSSs) management is another area where it finds use. Its implementation is basic and simple in comparison to other types of nonlinear and classical controllers [6, 7]. Key components of state-space sliding-mode control (SM control) include designing a sliding surface and preparing a control rule to guide the system state's trajectory from any beginning state to the sliding surface within a predetermined time limit.[11] The goal is for the system to reach its equilibrium state at the beginning of the process, which is at the origin of the phase plane. There are three main factors that ensure SM controllers remain stable. Prevalence, steadiness, and impact state are some of these considerations. The descent

Mode control principle is graphically represented in figure-4



Figure 4. S=0 represents the sliding surface in this SM control function image, x1 is the voltage error variable, and x2 is the dynamics of the voltage error.

Two main zones are defined on the phase plane by the sliding line, as seen in the picture. The system is considered stable if and only if the trajectory reaches the equilibrium point. A switching state represents each section.[12] Functioning at an infinite switching frequency is a distinguishing feature of an ideal sliding mode control technique. However, actual SM controllers operate in a quasi-sliding fashion due to their restricted switching frequencies.[13]



Figure 5. SIMULINK block diagram of Slide mode control

The slide mode control block diagram shown in Figure 5 is an example of a simulation tool that was created in MATLAB and has been based on evaluation parameters.

PROPORTIONAL, INTEGRAL AND DERIVATIVE CONTROLLER (PID)

One of the oldest and most reliable control methods for DC-DC converters is the proportionalintegral-derivative (PID) approach [9, 10]. Among the many industrial uses of power electronics, PID is a common tool. To get the targeted closed-loop performance, it is essential to optimize the method's integral, derivative, proportional, and control terms, all of which may be accomplished with relative ease.[14] One of the main reasons this traditional method is still employed in industrial settings is because of this. That tuning procedures like the Ziegler-Nichols tuning procedure are easy to adopt is another factor.



Figure 6 Block diagram of PID Controller

Both academic and industrial control systems frequently make use of control loop feedback mechanisms like proportional integral derivation controllers (PID Controllers).[15] Many people think this approach is easy to understand, trustworthy, and implement. Boost converters in photovoltaic (PV) systems are often controlled using PID controllers.



Figure 7. SIMULINK block diagram of PID Controller

The block diagram of a PID mode controller is shown in Figure 7, which depicts a simulation tool that was written in MATLAB and implemented according to evaluation parameters.[16]

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The model is validated in the MATLAB/Simulink environment. The input voltage of the buck converter is Vin, which is 24 volts DC, and the load is 10 ohms.[17] The reference signal for the system is twenty-eight volts direct current. The output voltage, Vout, is 17.89 volts, as shown in figure 8 (a). Furthermore, the system's rising time is 0.1 seconds, and the output voltage does not overshoot.

The output current waveform is shown in the following image, 8 (b). This process is generating a current of 1.764 amps. It takes 0.08 seconds for everything to settle down.



Figure 8: The current waveform and the voltage are the outputs of the SM mode.

The spectrum Both the input and output voltages at which the control approaches operate are similar, as are the characteristics of the power circuits. In order to run the simulation, we choose the following design criteria and circuit parameters:[18] The values for the input voltage Vin,

desired output voltage Vout, inductance L, capacitance, leakage inductance RL, leakage capacitance RC, and load resistance R are 24V, 18V, 100mH, 150 μ F, and 0.08 Ω , 0.03 Ω , respectively. Here, the sliding coefficients are 0.167. The switching frequency may be adjusted to 150 kHz. The output voltage is 17.97 volts, while the input current is 1.764 amps.[19]

CONCLUSION

In order to observe different output parameters, this section concentrates on how to implement sliding mode control of buck converters. The user is satisfied with the constant output voltage and current. When compared to the buck converter's output, the PID control buck converter is far better. Very quickly, there is very little ripple, and the output is becoming close to stable. The output remains constant if the load stays within a certain range. Overall, the nonlinear control system performs satisfactorily, in contrast to the PID controller. Although it has ripple, the PID controller can get to its final value faster. Depending on the load and PID parameters, overrun may or may not happen. In contrast, the SM control shows no overshoot and produces a smooth output.

REFERENCES

[1] Matsuo M., Matsui K., Yamamoto L. and Ueda F., A comparison of various DC-DC converters and their application to power factor correction, in Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2000, 26th Annual Conference of the IEEE, 2, 1007-1013 (2000)

[2] Mohan N., Undeland T. M. and Robbins W. P., Power Electronics - Converters, Applications, and Design (3rd Edition), ed: John Wiley and Sons.

[3] Qun Z. and Lee F.C., High-efficiency, high step-up DCDC converters, Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on, 18, 65-73 (2003)

[4] Rashid M.H., Power Electronics: Circuits, Devices and Applications vol. (3rd Edition), Prentice Hall (2003)

[5] M. Ahmed, M. Kuisma, K. Tosla, and P. Silventoinen, "Implementing sliding mode control for buck converter," in Proc. IEEE PESC Rec. , vol. 2, pp. 634-637, Jun. (2003).

[6] Mattavelli P., Rossetto L., Spiazzi G. and Tenti P., General-purpose sliding-mode controller for DC/DC converter applications," in Power Electronics Specialists Conference, PESC '93 Record., 24th Annual IEEE, 609- 615 (1993)

[7] He Y. and Luo F.L., Sliding-mode control for dc-dc converters with constant switching frequency, Control Theory and Applications, IEE Proceedings, 153, 37-45 (2006).

[8] Mattavelli P., Rossetto L., Spiazzi G. and Tenti P., General-purpose sliding-mode controller for DC/DC converter applications," in Power Electronics Specialists Conference, PESC '93 Record., 24th Annual IEEE, 609- 615 (1993)

[9] Kapat S. and Krein P.T., PID controller tuning in a DC-DC converter: A geometric approach for minimum transient recovery time, in Control and Modeling for Power Electronics (COMPEL), 2010 IEEE 12th Workshop on, 1-6 (2010)

[10] Wu Z., Zhao J. and Zhang J., Cascade PID Control of Buck-Boost-Type DC/DC
Power Converters, in Intelligent Control and Automation, WCICA 2006, The Sixth World
Congress on, 8467-8471 (2006)

[11] Saggini S., Mattavelli P., Ghioni M. and Redaelli M., Mixed-Signal Voltage-Mode Control for DCand#x2013;DC Converters With Inherent Analog Derivative Action, Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on, 23, 1485-1493 (2008)

[12] M. H. Rashid, Power Electronics: Circuits, Devices and Applications (3rd Edition), Prentice Hall, 2003.

[13] N. Mohan, T. M. Undeland, W. P. Robbins, "Power Electronics: Converters, Applications, and Design", 3rd Bk&Cdr edition, Wiley, 2002.

[14] R.D Middlebrook and S Cuk , "A general unified approach to modelling switching Converter Power stages", in Proc. IEEE PESC Rec., pp. 18–34, 1976.

[15] A.J. Forsyth and S.V. Mollow, "Modellingand control of dc-dc converters",IEE power engineering journal, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 229–236, Oct. 1998.

[16] V.S.C Raviraj and P.C. Sen, "Comparative Study of proportional-integral, Slidingmode, and fuzzy logic controllers for power converters",IEEE transaction on Industry applications, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 518-524, Mar. /Apr. 1997.

[17] M. Castilla, L. G. de Vicuna, J.M. Guerrero, J. Matas, and J. Miret, "Design of voltage-mode hysteretic controllers for synchronous buck converters supplying microprocessor loads", IEE Proceedings on Electrical Power Applications, Vol.152, No. 5, pp.1171–1178, Sep. 2005.

[18] M. Castilla, L. G. de Vicuna, J.M. Guerrero, J. Miret, and N. Berbel, "Simple lowcost hysteretic controller for single-phase synchronous buck converter", IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp.1232–1241, Jul. 2007.

[19] M. Castilla, L. G. de Vicuna, J.M. Guerrero, J. Matas, and J. Miret, "Designing VRM hysteretic controllers for optimal transient response", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp.1726–1738, Jun. 2007